[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having reviewed the August 26, 2024 Request for Judicial Notice ("RJN") filed by plaintiff Fiona Harvey ("Harvey") in opposition to Defendants Netflix, Inc., and Netflix Worldwide Entertainment, LLC's Motion to Dismiss ("Motion"), together with all papers filled in support of and in opposition thereto, and the parties' oral arguments, and being fully advised, the above-entitled Court hereby DENIES Plaintiff's RJN on the grounds that (1) Harvey does not identify any facts within Exhibits 1-4 and 7-9 of the Levenson Declaration that she seeks to have judicially noticed, nor does she articulate any purpose for which these documents should be noticed and (2) Exhibits 1-4 and 7-9 are irrelevant or improperly utilized for the truth of their contents. See, e.g., Easton v. Wells Fargo & Co., No. 2:20-cv-06070-AB-RAO, 2023 WL 6194043, at *4 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 2023); Capaci v. Sports Rsch. Corp., 445 F. Supp. 3d 607, 617 (C.D. Cal. 2020); DalPoggetto v. Wirecard AG, No. CV 19-0986 FMO (SKx), 2020 WL 2374948, at *2 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 15, 2020); see also Elliot v. Lions Gate Ent. Corp., 639 F. Supp. 3d 1012, 1022 (C.D. Cal. 2022); Troy Grp., Inc. v. Tilson, 364 F. Supp. 2d 1149, 1152 (C.D. Cal. 2005). In light of the foregoing, Plaintiff's Request for Judicial Notice is **DENIED**.

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

IT IS SO ORDERED.

20

19

21 Dated: ______, 2024

22

Hon. R. Gary Klausner United States District Judge

23

24

25

26

27

28